

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 26 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

David G. Converse et al

Docket No.: C-2593

Serial No.: 10/717,089

Art Unit: 1745

Filed: November 19, 2003

Examiner: Gregg Cantelmo

Title: Electric Storage Augmentation of Fuel
Cell System Transient Response

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile
transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark
Office (Fax No. 571-273-8300) on 1/26/06.

RESPONSE

Barbara Cecere

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

1. This paper is responsive to the Office Action of December 1, 2005.
Claim 6 remains for consideration. Claim 6 was previously allowed; the procedure
of MPEP 706.04 has not been followed.

2,3. Claim 6 is rejected as not enabled in the specification. Claim 6
requires providing a voltage to said electric energy storage device...and controlling
the voltage provided to said electric energy storage device...." In the specification,
page 8, line 28 through page 9, line 3, states "such as by causing the controller to
reduce the voltage on the side of the converter next to the super capacitor bank...."
That can only mean that it is the voltage provided to the super capacitor bank.
Further, reference should be had to page 8, lines 9-17 where it states that the
super capacitor bank might need to be raised to a higher voltage before it can be
charged due to the voltage level that the system is operating at. This has the
advantage, as stated, that the super capacitor can be allowed to go to a deeper
discharge because it will be able to be charged by being raised to a higher voltage.